## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHELLE HINDS, et al.,

v.

FEDEX GROUND PACKAGE SYSTEM, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Defendants.

Case No. 18-cv-01431-JSW

ORDER REGARDING JURY QUESTIONNAIRE AND PROPOSED

The Court HEREBY ADVISES the parties that it will send the Court's standard jury questionnaire to proposed jurors in advance of jury selection. That questionnaire is attached to this Order, and the Court will add the following ten questions from the parties' proposed voir dire to that standard questionnaire. Unless otherwise noted below, the answers will be formatted as on the questionnaire: No. Yes, Please explain. The Court also sets forth its intended voir dire to be posed during jury selection on October 19, 2022.

The parties shall file any objections to the questionnaire and to the Court's proposed questions by 12:00 p.m. on September 30, 2022. If any party requests that a different question from their proposed voir dire be included with the questionnaire, they shall advise the Court of the question that request be omitted and the question they request be included.

The parties will be provided with copies of the questionnaires on the afternoon of October 12, 2022. Following receipt of those questionnaires the parties shall meet and confer and attempt to reach agreement on those jurors who should be excused for cause and hardship. The parties shall provide that information to the Court by 11:00 a.m. on October 17, 2022.

# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### QUESTIONS TO BE ADDED TO QUESTIONNAIRE

- 1. Have you or a close friend or relative ever filed a complaint against an employer?
- 2. Have you ever felt you were unfairly denied pay, overtime, or benefits you believed you were entitled to?
- 3. Do you have a strong opinion, whether positive or negative, of large corporations, generally?
- 4. Do you feel like you would have difficulty trusting the testimony of a witness who works for or represents a large corporation in a lawsuit?
- 5. Do you have strong opinions, whether positive or negative, about how large corporations treat their employees?
- 6. Do you or a relative or close friend have any education, training, or experience in the legal field?
- 7. Do you or a relative or a close friend have any education, training, or experience with California labor laws?
  - 8. Do you believe that if a case makes it to trial it is probably a strong case?
- 9. Do you hold any strong opinions, whether positive or negative, about companies that primarily rely on independent contractors or independent service providers for conducting a key aspect of the company's business?
- 10. If you are selected as a juror, the Judge will instruct you that you are not to communicate with anyone in any way and are not to let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, smart phone, or other electronic means, via e-mail, text messaging, or in or on any Internet chat room, blog, website (including such social networking media like Facebook, My Space, LinkedIn, You Tube, TikTok, and Twitter) or other feature. Do you believe that you would not be able to follow that instruction? [For this question, if the answer is no, the potential juror will be asked to explain.]

### PROPOSED VOIR DIRE FOR OCTOBER 19, 2022

The Court will read the parties' statement of the case:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This lawsuit was brought by Michelle Hinds ("Ms. Hinds") and Tyrone Powell ("Mr. Powell" (collectively the "Plaintiffs") against Bay Rim Services ("Bay Rim") and FedEx Ground (the "Defendants"), claiming that both Bay Rim and FedEx Ground employed them, and that both failed to provide rest and meal breaks, failed to pay overtime, failed to provide proper wage statements, and failed to pay wages due on termination.

FedEx Ground provides package pickup, delivery, and information services to businesses and residences throughout the United States by entering into contracts with thousands of businesses called Service Providers. These Service Providers provide "first" and "last" mile pickup and delivery services from homes and offices. There are approximately 580 Service Providers in California employing more than 20,000 individuals.

Plaintiffs worked as delivery drivers for Bay Rim. At any given time, Bay Rim owned approximately 12 vehicles and employed approximately 11 drivers for the package pickup and delivery side of its business. Bay Rim operated this business from 2014 until its contract expired in March of 2018.

Ms. Hinds worked for Bay Rim from July 2017 to February 2018. Mr. Powell worked for Bay Rim from November 2017 to January 2018. There is no dispute in this case that Ms. Hinds and Mr. Powell were employees of Bay Rim. But Ms. Hinds and Mr. Powell also claim, and FedEx Ground denies, that FedEx Ground was also their employer—a "joint employer." You will be deciding the claims and defenses presented to you for decision as to both Bay Rim and FedEx Ground.

### **QUESTIONS TO PANEL**

- 11. My name is Jeffrey S. White. My law clerks are Kristin Ring, Margaret (Daisy) Salzman, and Claire Bonelli, and my Courtroom Deputy is Diyana Staples. Do any of you know me or any of my staff?
- 12. Counsel will introduce themselves and their clients or client representatives. Do any of you know any of the parties or their counsel?
- 13. The potential witnesses are: [Counsel will read their witness lists]. Based on that list of names or any other information that you possess, do you know anyone who may be

involved in this case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 14. Will any of you have any difficulty seeing or hearing any of the witnesses in this trial?
- 15. Have any of you read or heard anything about this case other than what you have heard here today?
- 16. Have any of you ever felt that your employer did not provide appropriate rest or meal breaks?
- 17. Have any of you ever felt you were unfairly required to work more than 40 hours in a week?
- 18. Have any of you ever felt an employer manipulated your employment status to save the company money?
- Have any of you ever had your employment classification disputed? For example, 19. has anyone ever disputed that you were an employee?
- 20. Have any of you had an employer tell you that you were exempt from overtime pay when you believed or know you were entitled to overtime pay?
- 21. Do any of you believe that employers routinely take unfair advantage of their employees?
  - 22. Have any of you ever had a negative experience with FedEx Ground?
  - 23. Do any of you hold a negative opinion of FedEx Ground?
- 24. Have any of you, a family member, or a close friend worked as a driver for a delivery company, like UPS, Amazon, or Federal Express?
- 25. Have any of you, a family member, or a close friend ever worked in human resources?
- Have any of you, a family member, or a close friend ever been a member of a labor 26. union?
- 27. Have any of you, a family member, or a close friend worked as an independent contractor or worked for a business that was an independent contractor or independent service provider?

- a. For those of you who have been independent contractors, did you have any positive or negative experiences?
- 28. Have any of you heard about "Gig" economies?
- 29. For those of you who answered yes to the last question, do any of you hold strong opinions, whether positive or negative, about Gig economies?
  - 30. Have any of you ever left a job due to poor working conditions?
  - 31. Have any of you felt retaliated against at any of your jobs?
- 32. Having heard all of the questions I have posed, and having heard the responses of other panelists, can any of you think of any other reason suggest as to why you could not sit on this jury and render a fair verdict based on the evidence presented to you and in the context of the instructions I will give to you on the law?
- 33. Do any of you have anything that you would like to bring to the Court's attention (e.g., health problems, hearing problems, difficulty understanding English, personal bias, financial problems, etc.) that might affect your ability to be an effective, fair, and impartial juror in this case? (NOTE: I will allow the jurors to respond to this question in private if necessary)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2022

United States District Judge